SOLUTIONS TO STATISTICAL METHODS EXAM

Solutions to Question 1 Suppose X is a random variable with its probability density function given by

$$f(x) = \alpha \lambda \exp(-\lambda x) + (1 - \alpha)\mu \exp(-\mu x)$$

for x > 0, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu > 0$.

(a) The moment generating function of X is

$$M_X(t) = \alpha \lambda \int_0^\infty \exp(tx - \lambda x) dx + (1 - \alpha) \mu \int_0^\infty \exp(tx - \mu x) dx$$

$$= \alpha \lambda \left[\frac{\exp(tx - \lambda x)}{t - \lambda} \right]_0^\infty + (1 - \alpha) \mu \left[\frac{\exp(tx - \mu x)}{t - \mu} \right]_0^\infty$$

$$= \alpha \lambda \left[0 - \frac{1}{t - \lambda} \right]_0^\infty + (1 - \alpha) \mu \left[0 - \frac{1}{t - \mu} \right]_0^\infty$$

$$= \alpha \lambda \frac{1}{\lambda - t} + (1 - \alpha) \mu \frac{1}{\mu - t}$$

provided $t < \lambda$ and $t < \mu$.

(8 marks)

UNSEEN

(b) The first four derivatives of $M_X(t)$ are

$$\begin{split} M_X'(t) &= \frac{\alpha \lambda}{(\lambda - t)^2} + \frac{(1 - \alpha)\mu}{(\mu - t)^2}, \\ M_X''(t) &= \frac{2\alpha \lambda}{(\lambda - t)^3} + \frac{2(1 - \alpha)\mu}{(\mu - t)^3}, \\ M_X'''(t) &= \frac{6\alpha \lambda}{(\lambda - t)^4} + \frac{6(1 - \alpha)\mu}{(\mu - t)^4}, \\ M_X'''(t) &= \frac{24\alpha \lambda}{(\lambda - t)^5} + \frac{24(1 - \alpha)\mu}{(\mu - t)^5}. \end{split}$$

So, the first four moments are

$$\begin{split} E\left(X\right) &= M_{X}^{'}(0) = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} + \frac{1-\alpha}{\mu}, \\ E\left(X^{2}\right) &= M_{X}^{''}(0) = \frac{2\alpha}{\lambda^{2}} + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mu^{2}}, \\ E\left(X^{3}\right) &= M_{X}^{'''}(0) = \frac{6\alpha}{\lambda^{3}} + \frac{6(1-\alpha)}{\mu^{3}}, \\ E\left(X^{4}\right) &= M_{X}^{''''}(0) = \frac{24\alpha}{\lambda^{4}} + \frac{24(1-\alpha)}{\mu^{4}}. \end{split}$$

(8 marks)

UNSEEN

(c) The moment generating function of $Y = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ is

$$M_Y(t) = E\left[\exp(tY)\right]$$

$$= E \left[\exp (tX_1 + \dots + tX_n) \right]$$

$$= E \left[\exp (tX_1) \right] \dots E \left[\exp (tX_n) \right]$$

$$= M_{X_1}(t) \dots M_{X_n}(t)$$

$$= \left[\alpha \lambda \frac{1}{\lambda - t} + (1 - \alpha) \mu \frac{1}{\mu - t} \right]^n.$$

(3 marks)

UNSEEN

(d) The mean of Y is

$$E(Y) = E[X_1 + \dots + X_n]$$

$$= E[X_1] + \dots + E[X_n]$$

$$= nE[X]$$

$$= \frac{n\alpha}{\lambda} + \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{\mu}.$$

The variance of Y is

$$Var(Y) = Var [X_1 + \dots + X_n]$$

$$= Var [X_1] + \dots + Var [X_n]$$

$$= nVar [X]$$

$$= nE [X^2] - nE^2 [X]$$

$$= \frac{2n\alpha}{\lambda^2} + \frac{2n(1-\alpha)}{\mu^2} - n\left[\frac{\alpha}{\lambda} + \frac{1-\alpha}{\mu}\right]^2.$$

(3 marks)

UNSEEN

(e) If $\lambda = \mu$ then The moment generating function of $Y = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ is

$$M_Y(t) = \left[\alpha \lambda \frac{1}{\lambda - t} + (1 - \alpha)\lambda \frac{1}{\lambda - t}\right]^n = \frac{\lambda^n}{(\lambda - t)^n},$$

the moment generating of a gamma random variable. So, Y has the gamma distribution with parameters n and λ . (3 marks)

Solutions to Question 2 (a) Suppose $\hat{\theta}$ is an estimator of θ based on a random sample of size n. Define what is meant by the following:

(i)
$$\hat{\theta}$$
 is an unbiased estimator of θ if $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$; (2 marks)

(ii)
$$\hat{\theta}$$
 is an asymptotically unbiased estimator of θ if $\lim_{n\to\infty} E\left(\hat{\theta}\right) = \theta$; (2 marks)

(iii) the bias of
$$\hat{\theta}$$
 is $E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$; (2 marks)

(iv) the mean squared error of
$$\hat{\theta}$$
 is $E\left[\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta\right)^2\right]$; (2 marks)

(v)
$$\hat{\theta}$$
 is a consistent estimator of θ if $\lim_{n\to\infty} E\left[\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta\right)^2\right] = 0.$ (2 marks)

UP TO THIS BOOK WORK.

- (b) Suppose X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent Uniform $[0, \theta]$ random variables. Let $\widehat{\theta}_1 = \frac{2(X_1 + \cdots + X_n)}{n}$ and $\widehat{\theta}_2 = \max(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ denote possible estimators of θ .
 - (i) The bias and mean squared error of $\hat{\theta}_1$ are

bias
$$(\widehat{\theta_1})$$
 = $E(\widehat{\theta_1}) - \theta$
= $\frac{2}{n}E(X_1 + \dots + X_n) - \theta$
= $\frac{2}{n}[E(X_1) + \dots + E(X_n)] - \theta$
= $\frac{2}{n}[\frac{\theta}{2} + \dots + \frac{\theta}{2}] - \theta$
= $\theta - \theta$

and

$$MSE(\widehat{\theta_1}) = Var(\widehat{\theta_1})$$

$$= \frac{4}{n^2} Var(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$$

$$= \frac{4}{n^2} [Var(X_1) + \dots + Var(X_n)]$$

$$= \frac{4}{n^2} \left[\frac{\theta^2}{12} + \dots + \frac{\theta^2}{12} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\theta^2}{3n}.$$

(4 marks)

(ii) Let $Z = \hat{\theta}_2$. The cdf and the pdf of Z are

$$F_{Z}(z) = \Pr(\max(X_{1}, ..., X_{n}) \leq z)$$

$$= \Pr(X_{1} \leq z, ..., X_{n} \leq z)$$

$$= \Pr(X_{1} \leq z) \cdots \Pr(X_{n} \leq z)$$

$$= \frac{z}{\theta} \cdots \frac{z}{\theta}$$

$$= \frac{z^{n}}{\theta}$$

and

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{nz^{n-1}}{\theta^n}.$$

So, the bias and mean squared error of $\widehat{\theta_2}$ are

bias
$$(\widehat{\theta}_2)$$
 = $E(Z) - \theta$
= $\frac{n}{\theta^n} \int_0^{\theta} z^n dz - \theta$
= $\frac{n}{\theta^n} \left[\frac{z^{n+1}}{n+1} \right]_0^{\theta} - \theta$
= $\frac{n}{\theta^n} \left[\frac{\theta^{n+1}}{n+1} - 0 \right] - \theta$
= $\frac{n\theta}{n+1} - \theta$
= $-\frac{\theta}{n+1}$

and

$$MSE(\widehat{\theta_{1}}) = Var(Z) + \left(-\frac{\theta}{n+1}\right)^{2}$$

$$= E(Z^{2}) - E^{2}(Z) + \frac{\theta^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}}$$

$$= E(Z^{2}) - \frac{n^{2}\theta^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} + \frac{\theta^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^{n}} \int_{0}^{\theta} z^{n+1} dz - \frac{n^{2}\theta^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} + \frac{\theta^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^{n}} \left[\frac{z^{n+2}}{n+2}\right]_{0}^{\theta} - \frac{n^{2}\theta^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} + \frac{\theta^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{n\theta^{2}}{n+2} - \frac{n^{2}\theta^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} + \frac{\theta^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{2\theta^{2}}{(n+1)(n+2)}.$$

(7 marks)

- (iii) $\hat{\theta}_1$ is better with respect to bias since bias $\hat{\theta}_1 = 0$ and bias $\hat{\theta}_2 \neq 0$. (1 mark) UNSEEN
- (iv) $\widehat{\theta_2}$ is better with respect to mean squared error since

$$\frac{2\theta^2}{(n+1)(n+2)} \le \frac{\theta^2}{3n}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 6n \le (n+1)(n+2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 6n \le n^2 + 3n + 2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 \le n^2 - 3n + 2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 \le (n-1)(n-2).$$

Both $\hat{\theta_1}$ and $\hat{\theta_2}$ have equal mean squared errors when n=1,2. (3 marks) UNSEEN

Solutions to Question 3 Suppose X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n is a random sample from a distribution specified by the probability density function $f(x) = \frac{1}{2a} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|}{a}\right), -\infty < x < \infty$, where a > 0 is an unknown parameter.

(a) The likelihood function of a is

$$L(a) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{1}{2a} \exp\left(-\frac{|X_i|}{a}\right) \right] = \frac{1}{(2a)^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |X_i|\right).$$
(5 marks)

UNSEEN

(b) The log likelihood function of a is

$$\log L(a) = -n \log(2a) - \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |X_i|.$$

The derivative of $\log L$ with respect to a is

$$\frac{d\log L\left(a\right)}{da} = -\frac{n}{a} + \frac{1}{a^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mid X_i \mid.$$

Setting this to zero and solving for a, we obtain

$$\widehat{a} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |X_i|.$$

This is a maximum likelihood estimator of a since

$$\frac{d^2 \log L(a)}{da^2} \bigg|_{a=\widehat{a}} = \frac{n}{\widehat{a}^2} - \frac{2}{\widehat{a}^3} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|$$

$$= \frac{n}{\widehat{a}^2} \left[1 - \frac{2}{n\widehat{a}} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| \right]$$

$$= \frac{n}{\widehat{a}^2} [1-2]$$

$$< 0.$$

(6 marks)

UNSEEN

(c) The expected value of \hat{a} is

$$E(\widehat{a}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[|X_i|]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2na} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x| \exp\left(-\frac{|x|}{a}\right) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{na} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} x \exp\left(-\frac{x}{a}\right) dx$$

$$= \frac{a}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} y \exp(-y) dy$$

$$= \frac{a}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma(2)$$

$$= \frac{a}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1$$

$$= a.$$

(6 marks)

UNSEEN

(d) The variance of \hat{a} is

$$\operatorname{Var}(\widehat{a}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Var}[|X_i|]
= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ E\left[X_i^2\right] - E^2[|X_i|] \right\}
= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{1}{2a} \int_{-\infty}^\infty x^2 \exp\left(-\frac{|x|}{a}\right) dx - \frac{1}{4a^2} \left[\int_{-\infty}^\infty |x| \exp\left(-\frac{|x|}{a}\right) dx\right]^2 \right\}
= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\infty x^2 \exp\left(-\frac{x}{a}\right) dx - \frac{1}{a^2} \left[\int_0^\infty x \exp\left(-\frac{x}{a}\right) dx\right]^2 \right\}
= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ a^2 \int_0^\infty y^2 \exp\left(-y\right) dy - a^2 \left[\int_0^\infty y \exp\left(-y\right) dy\right]^2 \right\}
= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ a^2 \Gamma(3) - a^2 \left[\Gamma(2)\right]^2 \right\}
= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n a^2
= \frac{a^2}{n}.$$

(6 marks)

UNSEEN

(e) The bias $(\hat{a}) = 0$ and MSE $(\hat{a}) = \frac{a^2}{n}$, so \hat{a} is an unbiased and a consistent estimator for a.

Solutions to Question 4 Suppose X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n is a random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Suppose Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_m is a random sample from $LN(\mu, \sigma^2)$ independent of X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n . Assume both μ and σ^2 are unknown.

(a) The joint likelihood function of μ and σ^2 is

$$L(\mu, \sigma^{2}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{(X_{i} - \mu)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right] \right\} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma Y_{i}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\log Y_{i} - \mu)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right] \right\}$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{m+n}{2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\right)^{-1} \sigma^{-m-n} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \mu)^{2}\right] \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\log Y_{i} - \mu)^{2}\right]$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{m+n}{2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\right)^{-1} \sigma^{-m-n} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \mu)^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\log Y_{i} - \mu)^{2}\right]\right\}.$$

The log likelihood function of μ and σ^2 is

$$\log L(\mu, \sigma^{2}) = -\frac{m+n}{2}\log(2\pi) - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\log Y_{i} - (m+n)\log \sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_{i} - \mu)^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m}(\log Y_{i} - \mu)^{2}\right].$$
(5 marks)

UNSEEN

(b) The partial derivative of log $L(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with respect to μ is

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \mu} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[-2\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) - 2\sum_{i=1}^m (\log Y_i - \mu) \right]
= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\mu + \sum_{i=1}^m \log Y_i - m\mu \right]
= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i + \sum_{i=1}^m \log Y_i - (m+n)\mu \right].$$

Setting this to zero and solving for μ , we obtain the estimator

$$\widehat{\mu} = \frac{1}{m+n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log Y_i \right].$$

(5 marks)

UNSEEN

(c) The partial derivative of $\log L(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with respect to σ is

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{m+n}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m (\log Y_i - \mu)^2 \right].$$

Setting this to zero and solving for σ^2 , we obtain the estimator

$$\widehat{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{m+n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \widehat{\mu})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m (\log Y_i - \widehat{\mu})^2 \right].$$

(5 marks)

(d) $\hat{\mu}$ is unbiased and consistent for μ since

bias
$$(\widehat{\mu})$$
 = $E(\widehat{\mu}) - \mu$
= $\frac{1}{m+n} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log Y_i\right] - \mu$
= $\frac{1}{m+n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} E(\log Y_i)\right] - \mu$
= $\frac{1}{m+n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu\right] - \mu$
= $\mu - \mu$
= 0

and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{MSE}\left(\widehat{\mu}\right) &= \operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{\mu}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(m+n)^2} \operatorname{Var}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i + \sum_{i=1}^m \log Y_i\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(m+n)^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Var}\left(X_i\right) + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\log Y_i\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(m+n)^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma^2\right] \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{m+n}. \end{aligned}$$

(5 marks)

UNSEEN

(e) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(2X < \log Y) &= \Pr\left(2N\left(\mu, \sigma^2\right) < N\left(\mu, \sigma^2\right)\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(N\left(2\mu, 4\sigma^2\right) - N\left(\mu, \sigma^2\right) < 0\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(N\left(\mu, 5\sigma^2\right) < 0\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(\frac{N\left(\mu, 5\sigma^2\right) - \mu}{\sqrt{5}\sigma} < \frac{0 - \mu}{\sqrt{5}\sigma}\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(N(0, 1) < \frac{0 - \mu}{\sqrt{5}\sigma}\right) \\ &= \Phi\left(-\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{5}\sigma}\right) \end{aligned}$$

So, the maximum likelihood estimator of $Pr(2X < \log Y)$ is

$$\Phi\left(-\frac{\widehat{\mu}}{\sqrt{5}\widehat{\sigma}}\right).$$

(5 marks)

Solutions to Question 5 (a) Suppose we wish to test $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ versus $H_0: \mu \neq \mu_0$.

- (i) the Type I error occurs if H_0 is rejected when in fact $\mu = \mu_0$; (1 mark)
- (ii) the Type II error occurs if H_0 is accepted when in fact $\mu \neq \mu_0$; (1 mark)
- (iii) the significance level is the probability of type I error; (1 mark)
- (iv) the power function: $\Pi(\mu) = \Pr(\text{Reject}H_0 \mid \mu)$. (1 mark)

SEEN

- (b) Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where σ^2 is assumed known.
 - (i) The rejection region for $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ versus $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ is

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left| \overline{X} - \mu_0 \right| > z_{\alpha/2}; \tag{2 marks}$$

(ii) The rejection region for $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ versus $H_1: \mu < \mu_0$ is

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left(\overline{X} - \mu_0 \right) < -z_{\alpha}.$$

(2 marks)

SEEN

UP TO THIS BOOK WORK

- (c) Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where σ^2 is assumed known.
 - (i) The power function, $\Pi(\mu)$, for $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ versus $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ is

$$\begin{split} \Pi(\mu) &= \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left| \overline{X} - \mu_0 \right| > z_{\alpha/2} \mid \mu\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(\left| \overline{X} - \mu_0 \right| > \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \mid \mu\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(\overline{X} - \mu_0 > \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \mid \mu\right) + \Pr\left(\overline{X} - \mu_0 < -\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \mid \mu\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(\overline{X} > \mu_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \mid \mu\right) + \Pr\left(\overline{X} < \mu_0 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \mid \mu\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} > \frac{\mu_0 - \mu + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \mid \mu\right) + \Pr\left(\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} < \frac{\mu_0 - \mu - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \mid \mu\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(N(0, 1) > \frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\mu_0 - \mu\right)}{\sigma} + z_{\alpha/2}\right) + \Pr\left(N(0, 1) < \frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\mu_0 - \mu\right)}{\sigma} - z_{\alpha/2}\right) \\ &= 1 - \Pr\left(N(0, 1) < \frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\mu_0 - \mu\right)}{\sigma} + z_{\alpha/2}\right) + \Pr\left(N(0, 1) < \frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\mu_0 - \mu\right)}{\sigma} - z_{\alpha/2}\right) \\ &= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\mu_0 - \mu\right)}{\sigma} + z_{\alpha/2}\right) + \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\mu_0 - \mu\right)}{\sigma} - z_{\alpha/2}\right). \end{split}$$

(6 marks)

UNSEEN

(ii) The power function, $\Pi(\mu)$, for $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ versus $H_1: \mu < \mu_0$ is

$$\Pi(\mu) = \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left(\overline{X} - \mu_0\right) < -z_\alpha \mid \mu\right) \\
= \Pr\left(\left(\overline{X} - \mu_0\right) > -\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_\alpha \mid \mu\right) \\
= \Pr\left(\overline{X} < \mu_0 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_\alpha \mid \mu\right) \\
= \Pr\left(\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} < \frac{\mu_0 - \mu - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_\alpha}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \mid \mu\right) \\
= \Pr\left(N(0, 1) < \frac{\sqrt{n} (\mu_0 - \mu)}{\sigma} - z_\alpha\right) \\
= \Pr\left(N(0, 1) < \frac{\sqrt{n} (\mu_0 - \mu)}{\sigma} - z_\alpha\right) \\
= \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n} (\mu_0 - \mu)}{\sigma} - z_\alpha\right).$$

(3 marks)

UNSEEN

(d) They are most powerful in the sense of NP lemma. The rejection rule

$$\frac{L(\mu_0)}{L(\mu_1)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{(X_i - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{(X_i - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}} < k,$$

where $\mu_1 \neq \mu_0$, along with the exact result $\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}$ follows the standard normal distribution will lead to the rule in b(i). Show the full derivation.

(4 marks)

Similarly, the rejection rule

$$\frac{L(\mu_0)}{L(\mu_1)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{(X_i - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{(X_i - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}} < k,$$

where $\mu_1 < \mu_0$, along with the exact result $\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}$ follows the standard normal distribution will lead to the rule in b(ii). Show the full derivation.

(4 marks)

Solutions to Question 6

(a) The Neyman-Pearson test rejects $H_0: \theta = \theta_1$ versus $H_1: \theta = \theta_2$ if

$$\frac{L\left(\theta_{1}\right)}{L\left(\theta_{2}\right)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(X_{i}; \theta_{1}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(X_{i}; \theta_{2}\right)} < k$$

for some k. (4 marks)

SEEN

UP TO THIS BOOK WORK.

- (b) Suppose $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ is a random sample from a distribution specified by the probability density function $f(x) = \exp(\theta x)$, $x > \theta > 0$, where θ is unknown.
 - (i) The Neyman-Pearson test rejects $H_0: \theta = \theta_1$ versus $H_1: \theta = \theta_2, \theta_1 < \theta_2$ if

$$\frac{L(\theta_{1})}{L(\theta_{2})} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp(\theta_{1} - X_{i}) I \{X_{i} > \theta_{1}\}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp(\theta_{2} - X_{i}) I \{X_{i} > \theta_{2}\}}$$

$$= \frac{\exp(n\theta_{1}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} I \{X_{i} > \theta_{1}\}}{\exp(n\theta_{2}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} I \{X_{i} > \theta_{2}\}}$$

$$= \frac{\exp(n\theta_{1}) I \{\min(X_{1}, \dots, X_{n}) > \theta_{1}\}}{\exp(n\theta_{2}) I \{\min(X_{1}, \dots, X_{n}) > \theta_{2}\}}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \operatorname{undefined}, & \text{if } \min(X_{1}, \dots, X_{n}) \leq \theta_{1}, \\ \infty, & \text{if } \theta_{1} < \min(X_{1}, \dots, X_{n}) \leq \theta_{2}, \\ \exp(n\theta_{1} - n\theta_{2}), & \text{if } \min(X_{1}, \dots, X_{n}) > \theta_{2} \end{cases}$$

$$< k.$$

Draw the graph. If $\exp(n\theta_1 - n\theta_2) < k$ then the rejection region is $\min(X_1, \dots, X_n) > \theta_2$. If $\exp(n\theta_1 - n\theta_2) \ge k$ then the rejection region is the empty set. (6 marks) UNSEEN

(ii) The power function for the rejection rule in part (i) is

$$\Pi(\theta) = \Pr(\min(X_1, \dots, X_n) > c \mid \theta)$$

$$= \Pr(X_1 > c, \dots, X_n > c \mid \theta)$$

$$= \Pr(X_1 > c \mid \theta) \cdots \Pr(X_n > c \mid \theta)$$

$$= \left[\int_c^{\infty} \exp(\theta - x) dx \right] \cdots \left[\int_c^{\infty} \exp(\theta - x) dx \right]$$

$$= \exp(\theta - c) \cdots \exp(\theta - c)$$

$$= \exp(n\theta - nc).$$

Note that $\Pi(\theta) = 1$ if $c < \theta$. (6 marks)

UNSEEN

(iii) If n = 10, $\theta_1 = 1$ and $\alpha = 0.05$ then

$$\exp(10 \cdot 1 - 10 \cdot c) = 0.05$$

which implies

$$10 - 10c = \log 0.05$$

which implies

c = 1.299573.

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

(iv) If $n=10,\,\theta_1=1,\,\theta_2=2$ and $\alpha=0.05$ then

Pr (TypeIIerror) = Pr (Accept
$$H_0 \mid H_1$$
istrue)
= 1 - Pr (Reject $H_0 \mid H_1$ istrue)
= 1 - $\Pi(2)$
= 1 - 1
= 0.

(5 marks)