

**SOLUTIONS TO
STATISTICAL METHODS EXAM**

Solutions to Question 1 Let X denote a random variable with its probability density function given by

$$f_X(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\pi x^3}} \exp\left[-\frac{\lambda(x-\mu)^2}{2\mu^2 x}\right]$$

for $x > 0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu > 0$. X is said to have the inverse Gaussian distribution with parameters λ and μ .

(i) The moment generating function of X is

$$\begin{aligned} M_X(t) &= \int_0^\infty \exp(tx) \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\pi x^3}} \exp\left[-\frac{\lambda(x-\mu)^2}{2\mu^2 x}\right] dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \exp(tx) \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\pi x^3}} \exp\left[-\frac{\lambda x}{2\mu^2} - \frac{\lambda}{2x} + \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right] dx \\ &= \exp\left[\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right] \int_0^\infty \exp(tx) \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\pi x^3}} \exp\left[-\frac{\lambda x}{2\mu^2} - \frac{\lambda}{2x}\right] dx \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= \exp\left[\frac{\lambda}{\mu} - \frac{\lambda}{\mu_t}\right] \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\pi x^3}} \exp\left[-\frac{\lambda x}{2\mu_t^2} - \frac{\lambda}{2x} + \frac{\lambda}{\mu_t}\right] dx \\ &= \exp\left[\frac{\lambda}{\mu} - \frac{\lambda}{\mu_t}\right] \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\mu} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\mu^2 t}{\lambda}}\right]\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_t = \sqrt{\lambda\mu}/\sqrt{\lambda - 2\mu^2 t}$.

UNSEEN

(ii) The first and second derivatives of $M_X(t)$ are

$$M'_X(t) = \mu M_X(t) \left(1 - \frac{2t\mu^2}{\lambda}\right)^{-1/2}$$

and

$$M''_X(t) = \mu^2 M_X(t) \left(1 - \frac{2t\mu^2}{\lambda}\right)^{-1} + \frac{\mu^3}{\lambda} M_X(t) \left(1 - \frac{2t\mu^2}{\lambda}\right)^{-3/2},$$

so

$$M'_X(0) = \mu M_X(0) (1 - 0)^{-1/2} = \mu$$

and

$$M_X''(0) = \mu^2 M_X(0) (1-0)^{-1} + \frac{\mu^3}{\lambda} M_X(0) (1-0)^{-3/2} = \mu^2 + \frac{\mu^3}{\lambda}.$$

UNSEEN

- (iii) The moment generating function of Y is

$$\begin{aligned} M_Y(t) &= E[\exp(tY)] \\ &= E[\exp(t(X_1 + \dots + X_n))] \\ &= E[\exp(tX_1) \cdots \exp(tX_n)] \\ &= E[\exp(tX_1)] \cdots E[\exp(tX_n)] \\ &= M_X(t) \cdots M_X(t) \\ &= M_X^n(t) \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{n\lambda}{\mu}\left[1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\mu^2 t}{\lambda}}\right]\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{n^2\lambda}{n\mu}\left[1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2n^2\mu^2 t}{n^2\lambda}}\right]\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

UNSEEN

- (iv) The mean and variance of Y are

$$E[X_1 + \dots + X_n] = E(X_1) + \dots + E(X_n) = nE(X) = n\mu$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[X_1 + \dots + X_n] &= \text{Var}(X_1) + \dots + \text{Var}(X_n) \\ &= n\text{Var}(X) \\ &= n\left[M_X''(0) - (M_X'(0))^2\right] \\ &= \frac{n\mu^3}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

UNSEEN

- (v) Inverse Gaussian distribution with parameters $n\mu$ and $n^2\lambda$.

UNSEEN

Solutions to Question 2 Suppose $\hat{\theta}$ is an estimator of θ .

- (i) $\hat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator of θ if $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$.
- (ii) $\hat{\theta}$ is an asymptotically unbiased estimator of θ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$.
- (iii) the bias of $\hat{\theta}$ is $E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$.
- (iv) the mean squared error of $\hat{\theta}$ is $E(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2$.
- (v) $\hat{\theta}$ is a consistent estimator of θ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 = 0$.

UP TO THIS BOOK WORK.

(b) (i) Let $Z = \min(|X_1|, |X_2|)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_Z(z) &= \Pr[\min(|X_1|, |X_2|) < z] \\ &= 1 - \Pr[\min(|X_1|, |X_2|) > z] \\ &= 1 - \Pr[|X_1| > z, |X_2| > z] \\ &= 1 - [\Pr(|X| > z)]^2 \\ &= 1 - [1 - \Pr(|X| < z)]^2 \\ &= 1 - \left[1 - \frac{z}{\theta}\right]^2 \\ &= 1 - \frac{(\theta - z)^2}{\theta^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{2(\theta - z)}{\theta^2}$$

and

$$E(Z) = \int_0^\theta \frac{2z(\theta - z)}{\theta^2} dz = \frac{2}{\theta^2} \left[\frac{\theta z^2}{2} - \frac{z^3}{3} \right]_0^\theta = \frac{\theta}{3}$$

and

$$E(Z^2) = \int_0^\theta \frac{2z^2(\theta - z)}{\theta^2} dz = \frac{2}{\theta^2} \left[\frac{\theta z^3}{3} - \frac{z^4}{4} \right]_0^\theta = \frac{\theta^2}{6}.$$

So, $Bias(\hat{\theta}_1) = 0$ and $MSE(\hat{\theta}_1) = \theta^2/2$.

UNSEEN

(b) (ii) Let $Z = \max(X_1, X_2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_Z(z) &= \Pr[\max(X_1, X_2) < z] \\ &= \Pr[X_1 < z, X_2 < z] \\ &= [\Pr(X < z)]^2 \\ &= \left[\frac{z + \theta}{2\theta} \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{z + \theta}{2\theta^2}$$

and

$$E(Z) = \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} z \frac{z + \theta}{2\theta^2} dz = \left[\frac{z^3}{6\theta^2} + \frac{z^2}{4\theta} \right]_{-\theta}^{\theta} = \frac{\theta}{3}$$

and

$$E(Z^2) = \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} z^2 \frac{z + \theta}{2\theta^2} dz = \left[\frac{z^4}{8\theta^2} + \frac{z^3}{6\theta} \right]_{-\theta}^{\theta} = \frac{\theta^2}{3}.$$

So, $\text{Bias}(\hat{\theta}_2) = 0$ and $\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_2) = 2\theta^2$.

UNSEEN

(b) (iii) Both estimators are equally good with respect to bias.

UNSEEN

(b) (iv) $\hat{\theta}_1$ has smaller MSE.

UNSEEN

Solutions to Question 3

(a) The likelihood function is

$$L(a) = a^{-n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{1/a-1}.$$

UNSEEN

(b) The log likelihood function is

$$\log L(a) = -n \log a + (a^{-1} - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i.$$

The derivative of $\log L$ with respect to a is

$$\frac{d \log L(a)}{da} = -\frac{n}{a} - a^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i.$$

Setting this to zero and solving for a , we obtain

$$\hat{a} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i.$$

This is indeed an MLE since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \log L(a)}{da^2} &= \frac{n}{a^2} + 2a^{-3} \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i \\ &= \frac{n}{\hat{a}^2} - 2n\hat{a}^{-2} \\ &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

at $a = \hat{a}$.

UNSEEN

(c) The expected value is

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\hat{a}) &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(\log x_i) \\
&= -\frac{1}{na} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^1 \log x x^{1/a-1} dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{na} \sum_{i=1}^n \left. \frac{d}{db} \int_0^1 x^b x^{1/a-1} dx \right|_{b=0} \\
&= -\frac{1}{na} \sum_{i=1}^n \left. \frac{d}{db} \int_0^1 x^{b+1/a-1} dx \right|_{b=0} \\
&= -\frac{1}{na} \sum_{i=1}^n \left. \frac{d}{db} \left[\frac{x^{b+1/a}}{b+1/a} \right]_0^1 \right|_{b=0} \\
&= -\frac{1}{na} \sum_{i=1}^n \left. \frac{d}{db} \frac{1}{b+1/a} \right|_{b=0} \\
&= \frac{1}{na} \sum_{i=1}^n \left. \frac{1}{(b+1/a)^2} \right|_{b=0} \\
&= \frac{1}{na} \sum_{i=1}^n a^2 \\
&= a.
\end{aligned}$$

UNSEEN

(d) The variance is

$$\begin{aligned}
Var(\hat{a}) &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n Var(\log x_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \{E[(\log x_i)^2] - a^2\} \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E[(\log x_i)^2] - \frac{a^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2 a} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^1 (\log x)^2 x^{1/a-1} dx - \frac{a^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2 a} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d^2}{db^2} \int_0^1 x^b x^{1/a-1} dx \Big|_{b=0} - \frac{a^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2 a} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d^2}{db^2} \int_0^1 x^{b+1/a-1} dx \Big|_{b=0} - \frac{a^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2 a} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d^2}{db^2} \left[\frac{x^{b+1/a}}{b+1/a} \right]_0^1 \Big|_{b=0} - \frac{a^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2 a} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d^2}{db^2} \frac{1}{b+1/a} \Big|_{b=0} - \frac{a^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2 a} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2}{(b+1/a)^3} \Big|_{b=0} - \frac{a^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2 a} \sum_{i=1}^n 2a^3 - \frac{a^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{a^2}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

UNSEEN

(e) Bias $(\hat{a}) = 0$ and $MSE(\hat{a}) \rightarrow 0$, so the estimator is unbiased and consistent.

UNSEEN

(f) Note that

$$\Pr(X < 0.5) = \int_0^{0.5} a^{-1} x^{a^{-1}-1} dx = \left[x^{a^{-1}} \right]_0^{0.5} = 0.5^{1/a},$$

which is a one-to-one function of a for $a > 0$. By the invariance principle, its maximum likelihood estimator is $0.5^{n/(\sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i)}$.

UNSEEN

Solutions to Question 4

(a) The joint likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu, \sigma^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(X_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \right] \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{Y_i}{\sigma^2} \right\} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}\sigma^{3n}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

UNSEEN

(b) The log likelihood function is

$$\log L = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - 3n \log \sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i.$$

The partial derivative of $\log L$ with respect to σ is

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{3n}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 + \frac{2}{\sigma^3} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i.$$

Setting this to zero and solving for σ , we obtain

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 + \frac{2}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i.$$

UNSEEN

(c) The partial derivative of $\log L$ with respect to μ is

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu).$$

Setting this to zero and solving for μ , we obtain

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}.$$

UNSEEN

(d) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \frac{2}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{3n} \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{2}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{3n} \chi_{n-1}^2 + \frac{2}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
E[\widehat{\sigma}^2] &= \frac{\sigma^2}{3n} E[\chi_{n-1}^2] + \frac{2}{3n} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right] \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{3n} E[\chi_{n-1}^2] + \frac{2}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[Y_i] \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{3n}(n-1) + \frac{2}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 \\
&= \frac{(n-1)\sigma^2}{3n} + \frac{2\sigma^2}{3} \\
&= \sigma^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{3n}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Var[\widehat{\sigma}^2] &= \frac{\sigma^4}{9n^2} Var[\chi_{n-1}^2] + \frac{4}{9n^2} Var\left[\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right] \\
&= \frac{2\sigma^4(n-1)}{9n^2} + \frac{4}{9n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n Var[Y_i] \\
&= \frac{2\sigma^4(n-1)}{9n^2} + \frac{4}{9n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^4 \\
&= \frac{2\sigma^4(n-1)}{9n^2} + \frac{4\sigma^4}{9n}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$MSE[\widehat{\sigma}^2] = \frac{2\sigma^4(n-1)}{9n^2} + \frac{4\sigma^4}{9n} + \frac{\sigma^4}{9n^2}$$

Hence, $\widehat{\sigma}^2$ is biased and but consistent.

UNSEEN

(e) Note that

$$E[\bar{X}] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu = \mu$$

and

$$Var[\bar{X}] = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n Var[X_i] = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

Hence, \bar{X} is unbiased and consistent.

UNSEEN

Solutions to Question 5 (a) Suppose we wish to test $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$.

- (i) the Type I error occurs if H_0 is rejected when in fact $\theta = \theta_0$.
- (ii) the Type II error occurs if H_0 is accepted when in fact $\theta \neq \theta_0$.
- (iii) the significance level is the probability of type I error.
- (iv) the power function: $\Pi(\theta) = \Pr(\text{Reject } H_0 | \theta)$.

SEEN

(b) Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample from a Bernoulli distribution with parameter p . Assume $\bar{X} = (X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n)/n$ has a normal distribution with mean p and variance $p(1-p)/n$.

- (i) The rejection region for $H_0 : p = p_0$ versus $H_1 : p \neq p_0$ is

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}} |\bar{x} - p_0| > z_{\alpha/2}.$$

- (ii) The rejection region for $H_0 : p = p_0$ versus $H_1 : p < p_0$ is

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}} (\bar{x} - p_0) < -z_\alpha.$$

- (iii) The rejection region for $H_0 : p = p_0$ versus $H_1 : p > p_0$ is

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}} (\bar{x} - p_0) > z_\alpha.$$

UP TO THIS BOOK WORK.

SEEN

(c) (i) The power function, $\Pi(p)$, for $H_0 : p = p_0$ versus $H_1 : p \neq p_0$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(p) &= \Pr \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}} |\bar{x} - p_0| > z_{\alpha/2} \middle| p \right) \\
&= \Pr \left(|\bar{x} - p_0| > \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \middle| p \right) \\
&= \Pr \left(\bar{x} > p_0 + \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \text{ or } \bar{x} < p_0 - \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \middle| p \right) \\
&= \Pr \left(\sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{x} - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} > \sqrt{n} \frac{p_0 - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} + \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{p(1-p)}} z_{\alpha/2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \text{or } \sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{x} - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} < \sqrt{n} \frac{p_0 - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} - \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{p(1-p)}} z_{\alpha/2} \middle| p \right) \\
&= \Pr \left(Z > \sqrt{n} \frac{p_0 - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} + \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{p(1-p)}} z_{\alpha/2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \text{or } Z < \sqrt{n} \frac{p_0 - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} - \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{p(1-p)}} z_{\alpha/2} \middle| p \right) \\
&= 1 - \Phi \left(\sqrt{n} \frac{p_0 - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} + \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{p(1-p)}} z_{\alpha/2} \right) \\
&\quad + \Phi \left(\sqrt{n} \frac{p_0 - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} - \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{p(1-p)}} z_{\alpha/2} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ denotes the standard normal distribution function.

UNSEEN

(c) (ii) The power function, $\Pi(p)$, for $H_0 : p = p_0$ versus $H_1 : p < p_0$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(p) &= \Pr \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}} (\bar{x} - p_0) < -z_\alpha \middle| p \right) \\
&= \Pr \left(\bar{x} < p_0 - \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{n}} z_\alpha \middle| p \right) \\
&= \Pr \left(\sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{x} - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} < \sqrt{n} \frac{p_0 - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} - \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{p(1-p)}} z_\alpha \middle| p \right) \\
&= \Pr \left(Z < \sqrt{n} \frac{p_0 - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} - \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{p(1-p)}} z_\alpha \middle| p \right) \\
&= \Phi \left(\sqrt{n} \frac{p_0 - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} - \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{p(1-p)}} z_\alpha \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ denotes the standard normal distribution function.

UNSEEN

(c) (iii) The power function, $\Pi(p)$, for $H_0 : p = p_0$ versus $H_1 : p > p_0$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(p) &= \Pr\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}}(\bar{x} - p_0) > z_\alpha \middle| p\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(\bar{x} > p_0 + \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{n}}z_\alpha \middle| p\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(\sqrt{n}\frac{\bar{x} - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} < \sqrt{n}\frac{p_0 - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} + \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{p(1-p)}}z_\alpha \middle| p\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(Z > \sqrt{n}\frac{p_0 - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} + \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{p(1-p)}}z_\alpha \middle| p\right) \\ &= 1 - \Phi\left(\sqrt{n}\frac{p_0 - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} + \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{p(1-p)}}z_\alpha\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ denotes the standard normal distribution function.

UNSEEN

They are not most powerful. Since we have used the fact $\sqrt{n}\{\bar{x} - p\}/\sqrt{p(1-p)}$ can be approximate by the the standard normal distribution. The exact distribution of \bar{x} is not normal.

UNSEEN

Solutions to Question 6 The Neyman-Pearson test rejects $H_0 : \theta = \theta_1$ in favor of $H_1 : \theta = \theta_2$ if

$$\frac{L(\theta_1)}{L(\theta_2)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i; \theta_1)}{\prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i; \theta_2)} < k$$

for some k . UP TO THIS BOOK WORK.

(b) (i) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L(K)}{L(L)} &= \frac{\frac{aK^a}{X_1^{a+1}} I\{X_1 > K\} \cdots \frac{aK^a}{X_n^{a+1}} I\{X_n > K\}}{\frac{aL^a}{X_1^{a+1}} I\{X_1 > L\} \cdots \frac{aL^a}{X_n^{a+1}} I\{X_n > L\}} \\ &= \frac{K^{na} I\{X_1 > K\} \cdots I\{X_n > K\}}{L^{na} I\{X_1 > L\} \cdots I\{X_n > L\}} \\ &= \frac{K^{na} I\{\min(X_1, \dots, X_n) > K\}}{L^{na} I\{\min(X_1, \dots, X_n) > L\}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is an increasing function of $\min(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. So, by the N-P lemma, we reject H_0 if

$$\frac{K^{na} I\{\min(X_1, \dots, X_n) > K\}}{L^{na} I\{\min(X_1, \dots, X_n) > L\}} < k$$

if and only if

$$\min(X_1, \dots, X_n) < c$$

for some c .

UNSEEN

(b) (ii) The power function is

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\theta) &= \Pr[\min(X_1, \dots, X_n) < c \mid \theta] \\ &= 1 - \Pr[\min(X_1, \dots, X_n) > c \mid \theta] \\ &= 1 - \Pr[X_1 > c, \dots, X_n > c \mid \theta] \\ &= 1 - (\Pr[X > c \mid \theta])^n \\ &= 1 - \left(\int_c^\infty \frac{a\theta^a}{x^{a+1}} dx \right)^n \\ &= 1 - \left(\left[-\frac{\theta^a}{x^a} \right]_c^\infty \right)^n \\ &= 1 - \left(\frac{\theta^a}{c^a} \right)^n \\ &= 1 - \frac{\theta^{na}}{c^{na}}. \end{aligned}$$

UNSEEN

(b) (iii) If $n = 10$, $a = 1$, $K = 1$ and $\alpha = 0.05$ then

$$1 - \frac{1}{c^{10}} = 0.05$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{c^{10}} = 0.95$$

which implies

$$c^{10} = 1/0.95$$

which implies $c = (1/0.95)^{1/10} = 1.005143$.

UNSEEN

(b) (iv) If $n = 10$, $a = 1$, $K = 1$, $L = 1/2$ and $\alpha = 0.05$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\text{TypeII error}) &= \Pr(\text{Accept } H_0 \mid \theta = 1/2) \\ &= 1 - \Pr(\text{Reject } H_0 \mid \theta = 1/2) \\ &= 1 - \Pi(1/2) \\ &= 1 - \left[1 - \frac{(1/2)^{10}}{c^{10}}\right] \\ &= 0.95 \cdot 2^{-10} \\ &= 0.0009277344.\end{aligned}$$

UNSEEN