## SOLUTIONS TO STATISTICAL METHODS EXAM

## Solutions to Question 1 This question explores the use of moment generating function.

(i) Let  $X \sim \chi_a^2$ . The moment generating function of X is:

$$M_X(t) = \frac{1}{2^{a/2}\Gamma(a/2)} \int_0^\infty x^{a/2-1} \exp\left(tx - \frac{x}{2}\right) dx$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2^{a/2}\Gamma(a/2)} \int_0^\infty x^{a/2-1} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right)x\right\} dx$   
=  $\frac{1}{2^{a/2}\Gamma(a/2)(1/2 - t)^{a/2}} \int_0^\infty y^{a/2-1} \exp(-y) dy$   
=  $\frac{1}{2^{a/2}\Gamma(a/2)(1/2 - t)^{a/2}} \Gamma(a/2)$   
=  $\frac{1}{(1 - 2t)^{a/2}},$ 

where we have made the substitution that y = (1/2 - t)x.

(ii) The first four derivatives of  $M_X(t)$  are

$$M'_{X}(t) = a(1-2t)^{-a/2-1},$$
  

$$M''_{X}(t) = a(a+2)(1-2t)^{-a/2-2},$$
  

$$M'''_{X}(t) = a(a+2)(a+4)(1-2t)^{-a/2-3},$$
  

$$M''''_{X}(t) = a(a+2)(a+4)(a+6)(1-2t)^{-a/2-4},$$

So, E(X) = a,  $E(X^2) = a(a+2)$ ,  $E(X^3) = a(a+2)(a+4)$  and  $E(X^4) = a(a+2)(a+4)(a+6)$ .

(iii) The moment generating function of  $S = X_1 + X_2$  is:

$$M_S(t) = E \left[ \exp(tX_1 + tX_2) \right]$$
  
=  $E \left[ \exp(tX_1) \right] E \left[ \exp(tX_2) \right]$   
=  $(1 - 2t)^{-a/2} (1 - 2t)^{-b/2}$   
=  $(1 - 2t)^{-(a+b)/2}$ .

- (iv) We have  $E(S) = E(X_1 + X_2) = E(X_1) + E(X_2) = a + b$  and  $Var(S) = Var(X_1 + X_2) = Var(X_1) + Var(X_2) = 2a + 2b$ .
- (v) Since

$$M_S(t) = (1 - 2t)^{-(a+b)/2},$$

it follows that S has a chi-square distribution with a + b degrees of freedom.

Solutions to Question 2 Suppose  $\hat{\theta}$  is an estimator of  $\theta$ .

- (i)  $\hat{\theta}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  if  $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$ .
- (ii)  $\hat{\theta}$  is an asymptotically unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$ .
- (iii) the bias of  $\hat{\theta}$  is  $E(\hat{\theta}) \theta$ .
- (iv) the mean squared error of  $\hat{\theta}$  is  $E(\hat{\theta} \theta)^2$ .
- (v)  $\hat{\theta}$  is a consistent estimator of  $\theta$  if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} E(\hat{\theta} \theta)^2 = 0$ , where *n* is the size of the sample used to calculate  $\hat{\theta}$ .

## UP TO THIS BOOK WORK.

Let X and Y be uncorrelated random variables. Suppose that X has mean  $2\theta$  and variance 4. Suppose that Y has mean  $\theta$  and variance 2. The parameter  $\theta$  is unknown.

(i) The biases and mean squared errors of  $\hat{\theta}_1 = (1/4)X + (1/2)Y$  and  $\hat{\theta}_2 = X - Y$  are:

$$Bias\left(\widehat{\theta}_{1}\right) = E\left(\widehat{\theta}_{1}\right) - \theta$$
$$= E\left(\frac{X}{4} + \frac{Y}{2}\right) - \theta$$
$$= \frac{E(X)}{4} + \frac{E(Y)}{2} - \theta$$
$$= \frac{2\theta}{4} + \frac{\theta}{2} - \theta$$
$$= 0,$$

$$Bias\left(\widehat{\theta}_{2}\right) = E\left(\widehat{\theta}_{2}\right) - \theta$$
$$= E\left(X - Y\right) - \theta$$
$$= E(X) - E(Y) - \theta$$
$$= 2\theta - \theta - \theta$$
$$= 0,$$

$$MSE\left(\widehat{\theta}_{1}\right) = Var\left(\widehat{\theta}_{1}\right)$$
$$= Var\left(\frac{X}{4} + \frac{Y}{2}\right)$$
$$= \frac{Var(X)}{16} + \frac{Var(Y)}{4}$$
$$= \frac{4}{16} + \frac{2}{4}$$
$$= \frac{3}{4},$$

and

$$MSE(\widehat{\theta}_{2}) = Var(\widehat{\theta}_{2})$$
  
=  $Var(X - Y)$   
=  $Var(X) + Var(Y)$   
=  $4 + 2$   
=  $6.$ 

- (ii) Both  $\hat{\theta}_1$  and  $\hat{\theta}_2$  are unbiased. The MSE of  $\hat{\theta}_1$  is smaller than the MSE of  $\hat{\theta}_2$ . So, we prefer  $\hat{\theta}_1$ .
- (iii) The bias of  $\hat{\theta}_c$  is

$$E\left(\hat{\theta}_{c}\right) - \theta = E\left(\frac{c}{2}X + (1-c)Y\right) - \theta$$
$$= \frac{c}{2}E(X) + (1-c)E(Y) - \theta$$
$$= \frac{c}{2}2\theta + (1-c)\theta - \theta$$
$$= 0,$$

so  $\widehat{\theta}_c$  is unbiased.

The variance of  $\widehat{\theta}_c$  is

$$Var(\hat{\theta}_{c}) = Var(\frac{c}{2}X + (1-c)Y)$$
  
=  $\frac{c^{2}}{4}Var(X) + (1-c)^{2}Var(Y)$   
=  $\frac{c^{2}}{4}4 + 2(1-c)^{2}$   
=  $c^{2} + 2(1-c)^{2}$ .

Let  $g(c) = c^2 + 2(1-c)^2$ . Then g'(c) = 6c - 4 = 0 if c = 2/3. Also g''(c) = 6 > 0. So, c = 2/3 minimizes the variance of  $\hat{\theta}_c$ .

Solutions to Question 3 An electrical circuit consists of three batteries connected in series to a lightbulb. We model the battery lifetimes  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$  as independent and identically distributed  $Exp(\lambda)$  random variables. Our experiment to measure the lifetime of the lightbulb Y is stopped when any one of the batteries fails. Hence, the only random variable we observe is  $Y = \min(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ .

(i) The cdf of Y is

$$Pr(Y < y) = 1 - Pr(Y > y)$$
  
= 1 - Pr [min (X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>, X<sub>3</sub>) > y]  
= 1 - Pr (X<sub>1</sub> > y) Pr (X<sub>2</sub> > y) Pr (X<sub>3</sub> > y)  
= 1 - Pr<sup>3</sup> (X > y)  
= 1 - exp(-3\lambda y).

So,  $Y \sim Exp(3\lambda)$ .

(ii) The likelihood function of  $\lambda$  is

$$L(\lambda) = 3\lambda \exp(-3\lambda y)$$

for  $\lambda > 0$ .

(iii) The log likelihood function of  $\lambda$  is

$$\log L(\lambda) = \log(3\lambda) - 3\lambda y.$$

The first derivative of log L with respect to  $\lambda$  is

$$\frac{d\log L(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda} - 3y.$$

Setting this to zero and solving, we obtain  $\hat{\lambda} = 1/(3y)$ . The second derivative of log L with respect to  $\lambda$ 

$$\frac{d^2\log L(\lambda)}{d\lambda^2} = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} < 0,$$

so  $\hat{\lambda} = 1/(3y)$  is indeed a maximum likelihood estimator of  $\lambda$ .

(iv) The bias of  $\hat{\lambda}$  is

$$Bias\left(\widehat{\lambda}\right) = E\left(\widehat{\lambda}\right) - \lambda$$
$$= \lambda \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{y} \exp(-3\lambda y) dy - \lambda$$
$$= \infty,$$

so the estimator is biased.

(v) The mean squared error of  $\hat{\lambda}$  is

$$MSE\left(\widehat{\lambda}\right) = Var\left(\widehat{\lambda}\right) + Bias^{2}\left(\widehat{\lambda}\right) = Var\left(\widehat{\lambda}\right) + \infty = \infty.$$

Solutions to Question 4 Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  are independent and identically distributed random variables with the common probability density function (pdf):

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\log x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$$

for  $x > 0, -\infty < \mu < \infty$  and  $\sigma > 0$ . Both  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown.

(i) The joint likelihood function of  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  is

$$L(\mu, \sigma^{2}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{X_{i}\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\log X_{i} - \mu)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right] \right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}\sigma^{n}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{-1}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log X_{i} - \mu)^{2}\right].$$

The joint log likelihood function of  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  is

$$\log L(\mu, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi) - n\log\sigma - \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\log X_i - \mu\right)^2.$$

The first order partial derivatives of this with respect to  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  are

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \log X_i - \mu \right) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i - n\mu \right) \tag{1}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{n}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \log X_i - \mu \right)^2, \tag{2}$$

respectively.

- (ii) Using equation (1), one can see that the solution of  $\partial \log L/\partial \mu = 0$  is  $\mu = \log X = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log X_i$ .
- (iii) Using equation (2), one can see that the solution of  $\partial \log L/\partial \sigma = 0$  is  $\sigma^2 = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n (\log X_i \hat{\mu})^2$ .
- (iv) The mle,  $\hat{\mu}$ , is an unbiased and consistent estimator for  $\mu$  since

$$E(\widehat{\mu}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log X_{i}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}E(\log X_{i})$$
$$= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mu$$
$$= \mu$$

and

$$Var(\hat{\mu}) = Var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log X_{i}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Var(\log X_{i})$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sigma^{2}$$
$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}.$$

(v) The mle,  $\widehat{\sigma^2}$ , is a biased and consistent estimator for  $\sigma^2$  since

$$E\left(\widehat{\sigma^2}\right) = E\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\log X_i - \widehat{\mu}\right)^2\right]$$
$$= E\left[\frac{n-1}{n}S^2\right]$$
$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{n}E\left[\frac{n-1}{\sigma^2}S^2\right]$$
$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{n}E\left[\chi_{n-1}^2\right]$$
$$= \frac{(n-1)\sigma^2}{n}$$

and

$$Var\left(\widehat{\sigma^{2}}\right) = Var\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\log X_{i}-\widehat{\mu}\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= Var\left[\frac{n-1}{n}S^{2}\right]$$
$$= \frac{\sigma^{4}}{n^{2}}E\left[\frac{n-1}{\sigma^{2}}S^{2}\right]$$
$$= \frac{\sigma^{4}}{n^{2}}E\left[\chi_{n-1}^{2}\right]$$
$$= \frac{2(n-1)\sigma^{4}}{n^{2}}.$$

Note that we have used the fact  $(n-1)S^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2_{n-1}$ . Furthermore,  $S^2 = (1/(n-1))\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$  denotes the sample variance.

Solutions to Question 5 Suppose we wish to test  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ .

- (i) the Type I error occurs if  $H_0$  is rejected when in fact  $\theta = \theta_0$ .
- (ii) the Type II error occurs if  $H_0$  is accepted when in fact  $\theta \neq \theta_0$ .
- (iii) the significance level is the probability of type I error.
- (iv) the power function:  $\Pi(\theta) = \Pr(\text{ Reject } H_0 \mid \theta).$

UP TO THIS BOOK WORK.

Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  is a random sample from  $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\sigma^2$  is assumed known.

(i) The power function,  $\Pi(\theta)$ , for  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$  is

$$\begin{split} \Pi(\theta) &= \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \mid \bar{x} - \theta_0 \mid > z_{\alpha/2} \mid \theta\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(\left| \left. \bar{x} - \theta_0 \right. \mid > \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \right| \theta\right) \\ &= \Pr\left( \left. \bar{x} > \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \text{ or } \bar{x} < \theta_0 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \mid \theta\right) \\ &= \Pr\left( \left. \bar{x} - \theta > \theta_0 - \theta + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \text{ or } \bar{x} - \theta < \theta_0 - \theta - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \mid \theta\right) \\ &= \Pr\left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left( \bar{x} - \theta \right) > \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left( \theta_0 - \theta \right) + z_{\alpha/2} \text{ or } \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left( \bar{x} - \theta \right) < \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left( \theta_0 - \theta \right) - z_{\alpha/2} \mid \theta \right) \\ &= \Pr\left( Z > \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left( \theta_0 - \theta \right) + z_{\alpha/2} \text{ or } Z < \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left( \theta_0 - \theta \right) - z_{\alpha/2} \right) \\ &= 1 - \Pr\left( Z < \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left( \theta_0 - \theta \right) + z_{\alpha/2} \right) + \Pr\left( Z < \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left( \theta_0 - \theta \right) - z_{\alpha/2} \right) \\ &= 1 - \Phi\left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left( \theta_0 - \theta \right) + z_{\alpha/2} \right) + \Phi\left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left( \theta_0 - \theta \right) - z_{\alpha/2} \right). \end{split}$$

(ii) The power function,  $\Pi(\theta)$ , for  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_1: \theta < \theta_0$  is

$$\Pi(\theta) = \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left(\bar{x} - \theta_{0}\right) \le -z_{\alpha} \middle| \theta\right)$$
$$= \Pr\left(\bar{x} - \theta_{0} \le -\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha} \middle| \theta\right)$$
$$= \Pr\left(\bar{x} \le \theta_{0} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha} \middle| \theta\right)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\bar{x} - \theta \le \theta_0 - \theta - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_\alpha \middle| \theta\right)$$
  
$$= \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} (\bar{x} - \theta) \le \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} (\theta_0 - \theta) - z_\alpha \middle| \theta\right)$$
  
$$= \Pr\left(Z \le \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} (\theta_0 - \theta) - z_\alpha\right)$$
  
$$= \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} (\theta_0 - \theta) - z_\alpha\right).$$

(iii) The power function,  $\Pi(\theta)$ , for  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$  is

$$\Pi(\theta) = \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}(\bar{x} - \theta_0) \ge z_{\alpha} \middle| \theta\right)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\bar{x} - \theta_0 \ge \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha} \middle| \theta\right)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\bar{x} \ge \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha} \middle| \theta\right)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\bar{x} - \theta \ge \theta_0 - \theta + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha} \middle| \theta\right)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}(\bar{x} - \theta) \ge \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}(\theta_0 - \theta) + z_{\alpha} \middle| \theta\right)$$

$$= \Pr\left(Z \ge \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}(\theta_0 - \theta) + z_{\alpha}\right)$$

$$= 1 - \Pr\left(Z < \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}(\theta_0 - \theta) + z_{\alpha}\right)$$

$$= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}(\theta_0 - \theta) + z_{\alpha}\right).$$

Note that we have used the fact  $(\sqrt{n}/\sigma)(\bar{x}-\theta) = Z \sim N(0,1)$ . Furthermore,  $\Phi(\cdot)$  denotes the cumulative distribution function of N(0,1).

Solutions to Question 6 The Neyman-Pearson test rejects  $H_0: \theta = \theta_1$  in favor of  $H_1: \theta = \theta_2$  if

$$\frac{L\left(\theta_{1}\right)}{L\left(\theta_{2}\right)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(X_{i};\theta_{1}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(X_{i};\theta_{2}\right)} < k$$

for some k. UP TO THIS BOOK WORK.

Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  be a random sample from a uniform  $(0, \theta)$  distribution.

(i) The most powerful test is to reject  $H_0: \theta = \theta_1$  if

$$\frac{L(\theta_1)}{L(\theta_2)} = \frac{\theta_1^{-n}I\{0 < X_1 < \theta_1\}I\{0 < X_2 < \theta_1\}\cdots I\{0 < X_n < \theta_1\}}{\theta_2^{-n}I\{0 < X_1 < \theta_2\}I\{0 < X_2 < \theta_2\}\cdots I\{0 < X_n < \theta_2\}} \\
= \frac{\theta_2^n}{\theta_1^n}\frac{I\{\max(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) < \theta_1\}}{I\{\max(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) < \theta_2\}} \\
< k_0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{I\left\{\max\left(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\right) < \theta_1\right\}}{I\left\{\max\left(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\right) < \theta_2\right\}} < \frac{k_0 \theta_1^n}{\theta_2^n}$$
$$\iff \max\left(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\right) > k$$

as required. The last step follows because

$$\frac{I\left\{\max\left(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\right) < \theta_1\right\}}{I\left\{\max\left(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\right) < \theta_2\right\}}$$

is a decreasing function of  $\max(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$ .

(ii) The power function is

$$\Pi(\theta) = \Pr(\operatorname{Reject} H_0 | \theta)$$
  
=  $\Pr(\max(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) > k | \theta)$   
=  $1 - \Pr(\max(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \le k | \theta)$   
=  $1 - \Pr(X_1 \le k | \theta) \Pr(X_2 \le k | \theta) \cdots \Pr(X_n \le k | \theta)$   
=  $1 - \left(\frac{k}{\theta}\right)^n$ .

(iii) Note that

$$1 - (2k)^5 = 0.05$$
  

$$\iff 2k = (0.95)^{1/5}$$
  

$$\iff k = (1/2)(0.95)^{1/5}.$$

So, k = 0.4948969.

(iv) Note that

$$\beta = \Pr(\text{Type II error})$$
  
=  $1 - \left[1 - \left(\frac{0.4948969}{0.6}\right)^5\right]$   
=  $\left(\frac{0.4948969}{0.6}\right)^5$   
=  $0.3817837.$