

**SOLUTIONS TO
MATH10282
INTRO TO STATISTICS**

Solutions to Question 1

ILOs addressed: present numerical summaries of a data set.

Suppose that we have the following sample of observations

$$-1.3, -0.59, 0.1, -1.4, -0.22, -0.35, -0.76, -0.2, 0.41, 0.32$$

The sample mean is

$$\frac{1}{10} (-1.3 - 0.59 + 0.1 - 1.4 - 0.22 - 0.35 - 0.76 - 0.2 + 0.41 + 0.32) = -0.399$$

(1 marks)

UNSEEN

The sample variance is

$$\frac{1}{9} [(-1.3 - \bar{x})^2 + (-0.59 - \bar{x})^2 + \dots + (0.32 - \bar{x})^2] = 0.3861211$$

(1 marks)

UNSEEN

Arrange the data as

$$-1.40, -1.30, -0.76, -0.59, -0.35, -0.22, -0.20, 0.10, 0.32, 0.41$$

The middle two numbers are -0.35 and -0.22. The median is their average which is -0.285.
(1 marks)

UNSEEN

Note that $r = 2.75$ and $r' = 3$, so $Q(1/4) = x_{(2)} + 0.75(x_{(3)} - x_{(2)}) = -0.895$. (1 marks)

UNSEEN

Note that $r = 8.25$ and $r' = 8$, so $Q(3/4) = x_{(8)} + 0.25(x_{(9)} - x_{(8)}) = 0.155$. (1 marks)

UNSEEN

The range of the data are

$$0.41 - (-1.4) = 1.81.$$

(1 marks)

UNSEEN

Note that $r = p(n + 1)$ and $r' = [p(n + 1)]$ are

$$r = \begin{cases} 3m + \frac{3}{4}, & \text{if } n = 4m, \\ 3m, & \text{if } n = 4m - 1, \\ 3m - \frac{3}{4}, & \text{if } n = 4m - 2, \\ 3m - \frac{6}{4}, & \text{if } n = 4m - 3 \end{cases}$$

and

$$r' = \begin{cases} 3m, & \text{if } n = 4m, \\ 3m, & \text{if } n = 4m - 1, \\ 3m - 1, & \text{if } n = 4m - 2, \\ 3m - 2, & \text{if } n = 4m - 3, \end{cases}$$

respectively. So,

$$r - r' = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{4}, & \text{if } n = 4m, \\ 0, & \text{if } n = 4m - 1, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } n = 4m - 2, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } n = 4m - 3. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$\text{thirdquartile} = \begin{cases} x_{(3m)} + \frac{3}{4} [x_{(3m+1)} - x_{(3m)}], & \text{if } n = 4m, \\ x_{(3m)}, & \text{if } n = 4m - 1, \\ x_{(3m-1)} + \frac{1}{4} [x_{(3m)} - x_{(3m-1)}], & \text{if } n = 4m - 2, \\ x_{(3m-2)} + \frac{1}{2} [x_{(3m-1)} - x_{(3m-2)}], & \text{if } n = 4m - 3. \end{cases}$$

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

Solutions to Question 2

ILOs addressed: define elementary statistical concepts and terminology such as unbiasedness; analyse and compare statistical properties of simple estimators.

(a) Suppose $\hat{\theta}$ is an estimator of θ based on a random sample of size n . Define what is meant by the following:

(i) $\hat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator of θ if $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$; (1 marks)

(ii) the bias of $\hat{\theta}$ is $E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$; (1 marks)

(iii) the mean squared error of $\hat{\theta}$ is $E\left[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2\right]$; (1 marks)

(iv) $\hat{\theta}$ is a consistent estimator of θ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2\right] = 0$. (1 marks)

UP TO THIS BOOK WORK.

(b) Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are independent $\text{Exp}(1/\theta)$ random variables. Let $\hat{\theta} = n \min(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ denote a possible estimator of θ .

(i) Let $Z = \hat{\theta} = n \min(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. The cdf of Z is

$$\begin{aligned} F_Z(z) &= \Pr[n \min(X_1, \dots, X_n) \leq z] \\ &= \Pr\left[\min(X_1, \dots, X_n) \leq \frac{z}{n}\right] \\ &= 1 - \Pr\left[\min(X_1, \dots, X_n) > \frac{z}{n}\right] \\ &= 1 - \Pr\left[X_1 > \frac{z}{n}, \dots, X_n > \frac{z}{n}\right] \\ &= 1 - \Pr\left[X_1 > \frac{z}{n}\right] \cdots \Pr\left[X_n > \frac{z}{n}\right] \\ &= 1 - \left(\Pr\left[X_1 > \frac{z}{n}\right]\right)^n \\ &= 1 - \left(1 - \Pr\left[X_1 \leq \frac{z}{n}\right]\right)^n \\ &= 1 - \left(1 - \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{z}{n\theta}\right)\right]\right)^n \\ &= 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{z}{\theta}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is the cdf of $\text{Exp}(1/\theta)$. Hence, $\text{Bias}(Z) = E(Z) - \theta = \theta - \theta = 0$. (3 marks)

UNSEEN

(ii) $\text{MSE}(Z) = \text{Var}(Z) = \theta^2.$ (1 marks)

UNSEEN

(iii) $\hat{\theta}$ is unbiased since the bias is zero. (1 marks)

UNSEEN

(iv) $\hat{\theta}$ is not consistent since the MSE is $\theta^2.$ (1 marks)

UNSEEN

Solutions to Question 3

ILOs addressed: define elementary statistical concepts and terminology such as confidence intervals and hypothesis tests.

(a) Suppose we wish to test $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$ versus $H_0 : \mu \neq \mu_0$.

(i) the Type I error occurs if H_0 is rejected when in fact $\mu = \mu_0$; (1 marks)

SEEN

(ii) the Type II error occurs if H_0 is accepted when in fact $\mu \neq \mu_0$; (1 marks)

SEEN

(iii) the significance level is the probability of type I error. (1 marks)

SEEN

(b) Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where σ is known. The rejection region for the following tests are

(i) reject $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$ versus $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$ if $\sqrt{n} |\bar{X} - \mu_0| / \sigma > z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$; (1 marks)

SEEN

(ii) reject $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$ versus $H_1 : \mu < \mu_0$ if $\sqrt{n} (\bar{X} - \mu_0) / \sigma < z_\alpha$. (1 marks)

SEEN

(c) Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where σ is known. Then,

(i) the required probability is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pr(\text{Reject } H_0 \mid H_1 \text{ is true}) \\
&= \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}|\bar{X} - \mu_0|}{\sigma} > z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mid \mu \neq \mu_0\right) \\
&= \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu_0)}{\sigma} > z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \text{ or } \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu_0)}{\sigma} < -z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mid \mu \neq \mu_0\right) \\
&= \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu_0)}{\sigma} > z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mid \mu \neq \mu_0\right) + \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu_0)}{\sigma} < -z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mid \mu \neq \mu_0\right) \\
&= \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu + \mu - \mu_0)}{\sigma} > z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mid \mu \neq \mu_0\right) + \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu + \mu - \mu_0)}{\sigma} < -z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mid \mu \neq \mu_0\right) \\
&= \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\sigma} > z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu - \mu_0)}{\sigma} \mid \mu \neq \mu_0\right) + \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\sigma} < -z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu - \mu_0)}{\sigma}\right) \\
&= \Pr\left(N(0, 1) > z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu - \mu_0)}{\sigma}\right) + \Pr\left(N(0, 1) < -z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu - \mu_0)}{\sigma}\right) \\
&= 1 - \Pr\left(N(0, 1) \leq z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu - \mu_0)}{\sigma}\right) + \Pr\left(N(0, 1) < -z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu - \mu_0)}{\sigma}\right) \\
&= 1 - \Phi\left(z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu - \mu_0)}{\sigma}\right) + \Phi\left(-z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu - \mu_0)}{\sigma}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

(3 marks)

UNSEEN

(ii) the required probability is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pr(\text{Reject } H_0 \mid H_1 \text{ is true}) \\
&= \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu_0)}{\sigma} < z_\alpha \mid \mu < \mu_0\right) \\
&= \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu + \mu - \mu_0)}{\sigma} < z_\alpha \mid \mu < \mu_0\right) \\
&= \Pr\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\sigma} < z_\alpha - \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu - \mu_0)}{\sigma} \mid \mu < \mu_0\right) \\
&= \Pr\left(N(0, 1) < z_\alpha - \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu - \mu_0)}{\sigma}\right) \\
&= \Phi\left(z_\alpha - \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu - \mu_0)}{\sigma}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

(2 marks)

UNSEEN

Solutions to Question 4

ILOs addressed: define elementary statistical concepts and terminology such as confidence intervals and hypothesis tests; conduct statistical inferences, including confidence intervals and hypothesis tests, in simple one and two-sample situations; sampling distributions.

(a) Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, with X_1, \dots, X_n an independent random sample from a distribution F_X with unknown parameter θ . Let $I(\mathbf{X}) = [a(\mathbf{X}), b(\mathbf{X})]$ denote an interval estimator for θ .

(i) $I(\mathbf{X})$ is a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval if

$$\Pr(a(\mathbf{X}) < \theta < b(\mathbf{X})) = 1 - \alpha;$$

(1 marks)

SEEN

(ii) the coverage probability of $I(\mathbf{X})$ is

$$\Pr(a(\mathbf{X}) < \theta < b(\mathbf{X}));$$

(1 marks)

SEEN

(iii) the coverage length of $I(\mathbf{X})$ is $b(\mathbf{X}) - a(\mathbf{X})$.

(1 marks)

SEEN

(b) Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

(i) if σ is known then $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu) / \sigma \sim N(0, 1)$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr\left(z_{\alpha/2} < \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\sigma} < z_{1-\alpha/2}\right) = 1 - \alpha \\ \Leftrightarrow & \Pr\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}z_{\alpha/2} < \bar{X} - \mu < \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}z_{1-\alpha/2}\right) = 1 - \alpha \\ \Leftrightarrow & \Pr\left(-\bar{X} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}z_{\alpha/2} < -\mu < -\bar{X} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}z_{1-\alpha/2}\right) = 1 - \alpha \\ \Leftrightarrow & \Pr\left(\bar{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}z_{1-\alpha/2} < \mu < \bar{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}z_{\alpha/2}\right) = 1 - \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for μ is

$$\left(\bar{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}z_{1-\alpha/2}, \bar{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}z_{\alpha/2}\right).$$

(1 marks)

SEEN

(ii) if σ is not known then $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)/S \sim t_{n-1}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr\left(t_{n-1,\alpha/2} < \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{S} < t_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}\right) = 1 - \alpha \\ \Leftrightarrow & \Pr\left(\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{n-1,\alpha/2} < \bar{X} - \mu < \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}\right) = 1 - \alpha \\ \Leftrightarrow & \Pr\left(-\bar{X} + \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{n-1,\alpha/2} < -\mu < -\bar{X} + \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}\right) = 1 - \alpha \\ \Leftrightarrow & \Pr\left(\bar{X} - \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{n-1,1-\alpha/2} < \mu < \bar{X} - \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{n-1,\alpha/2}\right) = 1 - \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for μ is

$$\left(\bar{X} - \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}, \bar{X} - \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{n-1,\alpha/2}\right).$$

(1 marks)

SEEN

(c) Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample from *Uniform* $[0, a]$.

(i) The cumulative distribution function $\max(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = Z$ say, is

$$\begin{aligned} F_Z(z) &= \Pr(Z \leq z) \\ &= \Pr(\max(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \leq z) \\ &= \Pr(X_1 \leq z, X_2 \leq z, \dots, X_n \leq z) \\ &= \Pr(X_1 \leq z) \Pr(X_2 \leq z) \cdots \Pr(X_n \leq z) \\ &= \left(\frac{z}{a}\right) \left(\frac{z}{a}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{z}{a}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{z}{a}\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < z < a$.

(2 marks)

UNSEEN

(ii) The $(\frac{\alpha}{2})$ th and $(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})$ th percentiles of Z are $a(\frac{\alpha}{2})^{1/n}$ and $a(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})^{1/n}$, respectively. So,

$$\Pr\left(a\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{1/n} \leq Z \leq a\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{1/n}\right) = 1 - \alpha,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\Pr\left(Z\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{-1/n} \leq a \leq Z\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{-1/n}\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

Hence, a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for a is

$$\left[Z \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{-1/n}, Z \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{-1/n} \right].$$

(3 marks)

UNSEEN

Solutions to Question 5

ILOs addressed: analyse and compare statistical properties of simple estimators.

Suppose X_1 and X_2 are independent $\text{Exp}(1/\lambda)$ random variables. Let $\hat{\theta}_1 = a(X_1 + X_2)$ and $\hat{\theta}_2 = b\sqrt{X_1 X_2}$ denote possible estimators of λ , where a and b are constants.

(i) The expectation of $\hat{\theta}_1$ is

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\theta}_1) &= a[E(X_1) + E(X_2)] \\ &= 2a \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{x}{\lambda} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) dx \\ &= 2a\lambda \int_0^{+\infty} y \exp(-y) dy \\ &= 2a\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\hat{\theta}_1$ is unbiased for λ if $a = 1/2$.

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

(ii) The expectation of $\hat{\theta}_2$ is

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\theta}_2) &= bE(\sqrt{X_1}) E(\sqrt{X_2}) \\ &= b \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\lambda} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) dx \right]^2 \\ &= b \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{\lambda y} \exp(-y) dy \right]^2 \\ &= b\lambda \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{y} \exp(-y) dy \right]^2 \\ &= b\lambda [\Gamma(3/2)]^2 \\ &= b\lambda\pi/4. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\hat{\theta}_2$ is unbiased for λ if $b = 4/\pi$.

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

(iii) The variance of $\hat{\theta}_1$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1) &= a^2 [\text{Var}(X_1) + \text{Var}(X_2)] \\ &= \\ &= 2a^2 \text{Var}(X_1) \\ &= 2a^2 \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{x^2}{\lambda} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) dx - \lambda^2 \right] \\ &= 2a^2 \left[\lambda^2 \int_0^{+\infty} y^2 \exp(-y) dy - \lambda^2 \right] \\ &= 2a^2 [\lambda^2 \Gamma(3) - \lambda^2] \\ &= 2a^2 \lambda^2 \\ &= \lambda^2/2.\end{aligned}$$

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

(iv) The variance of $\hat{\theta}_2$ is

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2) = b^2 E(X_1) E(X_2) - \lambda^2 = b^2 \lambda^2 - \lambda^2 = (b^2 - 1) \lambda^2 = \left(\frac{16}{\pi^2} - 1\right) \lambda^2.$$

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

(v) Clearly, $\lambda^2/2 < \left(\frac{16}{\pi^2} - 1\right) \lambda^2$, so the estimator $\hat{\theta}_1$ is better with respect to mean squared error.

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

Solutions to Question 6

ILOs addressed: analyse statistical properties of simple estimators.

Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample from a distribution specified by the probability density function $\frac{x}{\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ for $x > 0$.

(i) The likelihood function of σ^2 is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\sigma^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{X_i}{\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{X_i^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^{2n}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

(ii) The log likelihood function of σ^2 is

$$\log L(\sigma^2) = -2n \log \sigma + \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2.$$

The derivative with respect to σ is

$$\frac{d \log L(\sigma^2)}{d\sigma} = -\frac{2n}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2.$$

Setting this to zero gives

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2.$$

This is a maximum likelihood estimator since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \log L(\sigma^2)}{d\sigma^2} &= \frac{2n}{\sigma^2} - \frac{3}{\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^4} \left[2n\sigma^2 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^4} \left[2n \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \right] \\ &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

at $\sigma = \hat{\sigma}$.

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

(iii) By the invariance principle, the maximum likelihood estimator of σ is

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}.$$

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

(iv) The bias of $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bias}(\hat{\sigma}^2) &= E(\hat{\sigma}^2) - \sigma^2 \\ &= E\left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right) - \sigma^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2) - \sigma^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2n\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} x^3 \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) dx - \sigma^2 \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} y \exp(-y) dy - \sigma^2 \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma(2) - \sigma^2 \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1 - \sigma^2 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is unbiased for σ^2 .

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

(v) The mean squared error of $\widehat{\sigma^2}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{MSE}(\widehat{\sigma^2}) &= \text{Var}(\widehat{\sigma^2}) \\ &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{E(X_i^4) - [E(X_i^2)]^2\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{E(X_i^4) - [2\sigma^2]^2\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{4\sigma^4 \int_0^\infty y^2 \exp(-y) dy - 4\sigma^4\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \{4\sigma^4 \Gamma(3) - 4\sigma^4\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \{8\sigma^4 - 4\sigma^4\} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^4}{n}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\widehat{\sigma^2}$ is consistent σ^2 .

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

Solutions to Question 7

ILOs addressed: analyse statistical properties of simple estimators.

An electrical circuit consists of four batteries connected in series to a lightbulb. We model the battery lifetimes X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 as independent and identically distributed $Uni(0, \theta)$ random variables. Our experiment to measure the operating time of the circuit is stopped when any one of the batteries fails. Hence, the only random variable we observe is $Y = \min(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)$.

(i) The cdf of Y is

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(Y \leq y) &= 1 - \Pr[\min(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) > y] \\ &= 1 - \Pr(X_1 > y) \Pr(X_2 > y) \Pr(X_3 > y) \Pr(X_4 > y) \\ &= 1 - \Pr^4(X > y) \\ &= 1 - (1 - y/\theta)^4.\end{aligned}$$

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

(ii) The likelihood function of θ is

$$L(\theta) = 4(\theta - y)^3/\theta^4$$

for $0 < y < \theta$.

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

(iii) The log-likelihood function is

$$\log L(\theta) = \log 4 + 3 \log(\theta - y)^3 - 4 \log \theta$$

and

$$\frac{d \log L(\theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{3}{\theta - y} - \frac{4}{\theta}.$$

Setting $d \log L(\theta)/d\theta = 0$ gives $\hat{\theta} = 4y$. This is an MLE since

$$\frac{d^2 \log L(\theta)}{d\theta^2} = -\frac{3}{(\theta - y)^2} + \frac{4}{\theta^2}$$

at $\hat{\theta} = 4y$ is negative.

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

(iv) The bias of $\hat{\theta}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bias}(\hat{\theta}) &= E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta \\ &= 16\theta^{-4} \int_0^{\theta} y(\theta - y)^3 dy - \theta \\ &= 16\theta \int_0^1 y(1 - y)^3 dy - \theta \\ &= \frac{4\theta}{5} - \theta \\ &= -\frac{\theta}{5}, \end{aligned}$$

so the estimator is biased.

(4 marks)

UNSEEN

(v) The variance of $\hat{\theta}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) &= E(\hat{\theta}^2) - E^2(\hat{\theta}) \\ &= 64\theta^{-4} \int_0^{\theta} y^2(\theta - y)^3 dy - \frac{16\theta^2}{25} \\ &= 64\theta^2 \int_0^1 y^2(1 - y)^3 dy - \frac{16\theta^2}{25} \\ &= \frac{16\theta^2}{15} - \frac{16\theta^2}{25} \\ &= \frac{32\theta^2}{75}. \end{aligned}$$

So, the mean squared error of $\hat{\lambda}$ is

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) + \text{Bias}^2(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{32\theta^2}{75} + \frac{\theta^2}{25} = \frac{35\theta^2}{75} = \frac{7\theta^2}{15}.$$

(4 marks)

UNSEEN