

**SOLUTIONS TO
MATH38181
EXTREME VALUES EXAM**

Solutions to Question 1

a) We can write

$$\bar{F}(x, y) = \exp \left[- (x^a + y^a)^{1/a} \right] = \exp \left\{ -(x + y) \left[\left(\frac{y}{x + y} \right)^a + \left(\frac{x}{x + y} \right)^a \right] \right\}.$$

This is in the form of

$$\bar{F}(x, y) = \exp \left[-(x + y) A \left(\frac{y}{x + y} \right) \right]$$

with $A(t) = [t^a + (1 - t)^a]^{1/a}$.

We now check the conditions for $A(\cdot)$. Clearly, $A(0) = 1$ and $A(1) = 1$.

Also $A(t) \geq 0$ since $t^a \geq 0$ and $(1 - t)^a \geq 0$ for all t .

To show that $A(t) \leq 1$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &\leq 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow [t^a + (1 - t)^a]^{1/a} &\leq 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow t^a + (1 - t)^a &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $g(t) = t^a + (1 - t)^a$. We have $g'(t) = at^{a-1} - a(1 - t)^{a-1}$, $g'(0) = -a$, $g'(1) = a$ and $g''(t) = a(a - 1)t^{a-2} + a(a - 1)(1 - t)^{a-2}$. So, $g(t)$ attains its maximum at $t = 0$ or $t = 1$. Hence, $t^a + (1 - t)^a \leq 1$ holds for all t .

Also $A(t) \geq t$ since

$$[t^a + (1 - t)^a]^{1/a} \geq [t^a]^{1/a} \geq t.$$

Also $A(t) \geq 1 - t$ since

$$[t^a + (1 - t)^a]^{1/a} \geq [(1 - t)^a]^{1/a} \geq 1 - t.$$

$A(\cdot)$ is convex since

$$A'(t) = [t^a + (1 - t)^a]^{1/a-1} [t^{a-1} - (1 - t)^{a-1}]$$

and

$$A''(t) = (a - 1) [t^a + (1 - t)^a]^{1/a-2} [t^a(1 - t)^{a-2} + t^{a-2}(1 - t)^a + 2t^{a-1}(1 - t)^{a-1}] \geq 0.$$

b) the joint cdf is

$$F(x, y) = 1 - \exp(-x) - \exp(-y) + \exp \left[- (x^a + y^a)^{1/a} \right].$$

c) the derivative of joint cdf with respect to x is

$$\frac{\partial F(x, y)}{\partial x} = \exp(-x) - x^{a-1} (x^a + y^a)^{1/a-1} \exp \left[- (x^a + y^a)^{1/a} \right],$$

so the conditional cdf if Y given $X = x$ is

$$F(y|x) = 1 - x^{a-1} (x^a + y^a)^{1/a-1} \exp \left[x - (x^a + y^a)^{1/a} \right].$$

d) the derivative of joint cdf with respect to y is

$$\frac{\partial F(x, y)}{\partial y} = \exp(-y) - y^{a-1} (x^a + y^a)^{1/a-1} \exp \left[- (x^a + y^a)^{1/a} \right],$$

so the conditional cdf if X given $Y = y$ is

$$F(x|y) = 1 - y^{a-1} (x^a + y^a)^{1/a-1} \exp \left[y - (x^a + y^a)^{1/a} \right].$$

e) the derivative of joint cdf with respect to x and y is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \frac{\partial F(x, y)}{\partial x \partial y} \\ &= (xy)^{a-1} (x^a + y^a)^{1/a-2} \exp \left[- (x^a + y^a)^{1/a} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \left[a - 1 + (x^a + y^a)^{1/a} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Solutions to Question 2

a) Let X denote the actual stock return. The pdf of X is

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_X(x) &= \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \lambda \exp(-\lambda x) d\lambda \\
 &= \frac{1}{b-a} \left\{ \left[\lambda \frac{\exp(-\lambda x)}{-x} \right]_a^b + \frac{1}{x} \int_a^b \exp(-\lambda x) d\lambda \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{b-a} \left\{ -\frac{b \exp(-bx) - a \exp(-ax)}{x} - \frac{\exp(-bx) - \exp(-ax)}{x^2} \right\} \\
 &= \frac{(xa+1) \exp(-ax) - (xb+1) \exp(-bx)}{x^2(b-a)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

b) the expected value of X is

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(X) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{(xa+1) \exp(-ax) - (xb+1) \exp(-bx)}{x(b-a)} dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{b-a} \left[a \int_0^\infty \exp(-ax) dx - b \int_0^\infty \exp(-bx) dx + \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x} \exp(-ax) dx - \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x} \exp(-bx) dx \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{b-a} \left[1 - 1 + \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x} \exp(-ax) dx - \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x} \exp(-bx) dx \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{b-a} \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x} \exp(-ax) dx - \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x} \exp(-bx) dx \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{b-a} [\infty - \infty] \\
 &= \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

c) the expected value of X^2 is

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(X^2) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{(xa+1) \exp(-ax) - (xb+1) \exp(-bx)}{b-a} dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{b-a} \left[a \int_0^\infty x \exp(-ax) dx - b \int_0^\infty x \exp(-bx) dx + \int_0^\infty \exp(-ax) dx - \int_0^\infty \exp(-bx) dx \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{b-a} \left[\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b} \right] \\
 &= \frac{2}{ab}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the variance is infinite.

d) If x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n is a random sample on X then the likelihood function is

$$L(a, b) = (b-a)^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i a + 1) \exp(-a x_i) - (x_i b + 1) \exp(-b x_i)}{x_i^2}.$$

The log-likelihood function is

$$\log L(a, b) = -n \log(b - a) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log [(x_i a + 1) \exp(-ax_i) - (x_i b + 1) \exp(-bx_i)] - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i.$$

The partial derivatives with respect to a and b are

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial a} = \frac{n}{b - a} - a \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2 \exp(-ax_i)}{(x_i a + 1) \exp(-ax_i) - (x_i b + 1) \exp(-bx_i)}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial b} = -\frac{n}{b - a} - b \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2 \exp(-bx_i)}{(x_i a + 1) \exp(-ax_i) - (x_i b + 1) \exp(-bx_i)}.$$

So, the mles of a and b are the simultaneous solutions of the equations

$$\frac{n}{b - a} = a \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2 \exp(-ax_i)}{(x_i a + 1) \exp(-ax_i) - (x_i b + 1) \exp(-bx_i)}$$

and

$$-\frac{n}{b - a} = b \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2 \exp(-bx_i)}{(x_i a + 1) \exp(-ax_i) - (x_i b + 1) \exp(-bx_i)}.$$

Solutions to Question 3

If there are norming constants $a_n > 0$, b_n and a nondegenerate G such that the cdf of a normalized version of M_n converges to G , i.e.

$$\Pr \left(\frac{M_n - b_n}{a_n} \leq x \right) = F^n(a_n x + b_n) \rightarrow G(x) \quad (1)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then G must be of the same type as (cdf's G and G^* are of the same type if $G^*(x) = G(ax + b)$ for some $a > 0$, b and all x) as one of the following three classes:

$$\begin{aligned} I & : \Lambda(x) = \exp \{ -\exp(-x) \}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{R}; \\ II & : \Phi_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0, \\ \exp \{ -x^{-\alpha} \} & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases} \\ & \quad \text{for some } \alpha > 0; \\ III & : \Psi_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} \exp \{ -(-x)^\alpha \} & \text{if } x < 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases} \\ & \quad \text{for some } \alpha > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The necessary and sufficient conditions for the three extreme value distributions are:

$$\begin{aligned} I & : \exists \gamma(t) > 0 \text{ s.t. } \lim_{t \uparrow w(F)} \frac{1 - F(t + x\gamma(t))}{1 - F(t)} = \exp(-x), \quad x \in \mathfrak{R}, \\ II & : w(F) = \infty \text{ and } \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} \frac{1 - F(tx)}{1 - F(t)} = x^{-\alpha}, \quad x > 0, \\ III & : w(F) < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1 - F(w(F) - tx)}{1 - F(w(F) - t)} = x^\alpha, \quad x > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, suppose that G belongs to the max domain of attraction of the Gumbel extreme value distribution. Then, there must exist a strictly positive function, say $h(t)$, such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow w(G)} \frac{1 - G(t + xh(t))}{1 - G(t)} = \exp(-x)$$

for every $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. But, using L'Hopital's rule, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow w(F)} \frac{1 - F(t + xh(t))}{1 - F(t)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow w(F)} \frac{[1 + xh'(t)]f(t + xh(t))}{f(t)} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow w(G)} \frac{[1 + xh'(t)]g(t + xh(t))}{g(t)} \left[\frac{G(t + xh(t))}{G(t)} \right]^{a-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{1 - G(t + xh(t))}{1 - G(t)} \right]^{b-1} \exp \{ cG(t) - cG(t + xh(t)) \} \\ &= \exp(-bx) \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. So, it follows that F also belongs to the max domain of attraction of the Gumbel extreme value distribution with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr \{a_n (M_n - b_n) \leq x\} = \exp \{-\exp(-bx)\}$$

for some suitable norming constants $a_n > 0$ and b_n .

Secondly, suppose that G belongs to the max domain of attraction of the Fréchet extreme value distribution. Then, there must exist a $\beta < 0$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - G(tx)}{1 - G(t)} = x^\beta$$

for every $x > 0$. But, using L'Hopital's rule, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - F(tx)}{1 - F(t)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{xf(tx)}{f(t)} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{xg(tx)}{g(t)} \left[\frac{G(tx)}{G(t)} \right]^{a-1} \left[\frac{1 - G(tx)}{1 - G(t)} \right]^{b-1} \exp \{cG(t) - cG(tx)\} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{xg(tx)}{g(t)} \left[\frac{1 - G(tx)}{1 - G(t)} \right]^{b-1} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - G(tx)}{1 - G(t)} \left[\frac{1 - G(tx)}{1 - G(t)} \right]^{b-1} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1 - G(tx)}{1 - G(t)} \right]^b \\ &= x^{b\beta} \end{aligned}$$

for every $x > 0$. So, it follows that F also belongs to the max domain of attraction of the Fréchet extreme value distribution with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr \{a_n (M_n - b_n) \leq x\} = \exp(-x^{b\beta})$$

for some suitable norming constants $a_n > 0$ and b_n .

Thirdly, suppose that G belongs to the max domain of attraction of the Weibull extreme value distribution. Then, there must exist a $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - G(w(G) - tx)}{1 - G(w(G) - t)} = x^\alpha$$

for every $x > 0$. But, using L'Hopital's rule, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - F(w(F) - tx)}{1 - F(w(F) - t)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{x f(w(F) - tx)}{f(w(F) - t)} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{x g(w(F) - tx)}{g(w(F) - t)} \left[\frac{G(w(F) - tx)}{G(w(F) - t)} \right]^{a-1} \left[\frac{1 - G(w(F) - tx)}{1 - G(w(F) - t)} \right]^{b-1} \\
&\quad \times \exp \{ cG(w(F) - t) - cG(w(F) - tx) \} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{x g(w(F) - tx)}{g(w(F) - t)} \left[\frac{1 - G(w(F) - tx)}{1 - G(w(F) - t)} \right]^{b-1} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - G(w(F) - tx)}{1 - G(w(F) - t)} \left[\frac{1 - G(w(F) - tx)}{1 - G(w(F) - t)} \right]^{b-1} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{1 - G(w(F) - tx)}{1 - G(w(F) - t)} \right]^b \\
&= x^{b\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, it follows that F also belongs to the max domain of attraction of the Weibull extreme value distribution with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr \{ a_n (M_n - b_n) \leq x \} = \exp \{ -(-x)^{b\alpha} \}$$

for some suitable norming constants $a_n > 0$ and b_n .

Solutions to Question 4

a) Note that $w(F) = \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t \uparrow \infty} \frac{1 - F(t + xg(t))}{1 - F(t)} &= \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} \frac{1 - \{1 - \exp[1 - (1 + \lambda t + \lambda xg(t))^\alpha]\}}{1 - \{1 - \exp[1 - (1 + \lambda t)^\alpha]\}} \\
&= \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} \frac{\exp[1 - (1 + \lambda t + \lambda xg(t))^\alpha]}{\exp[1 - (1 + \lambda t)^\alpha]} \\
&= \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} \exp[(1 + \lambda t)^\alpha - (1 + \lambda t + \lambda xg(t))^\alpha] \\
&= \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} \exp \left\{ (1 + \lambda t)^\alpha \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{\lambda g(t)x}{1 + \lambda t} \right)^\alpha \right] \right\} \\
&= \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} \exp \left\{ (1 + \lambda t)^\alpha \left[1 - \left(1 + \alpha \frac{\lambda g(t)x}{1 + \lambda t} \right) \right] \right\} \quad \text{using } (1 + x)^a \approx 1 + ax \\
&= \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} \exp \left\{ -(1 + \lambda t)^\alpha \left[\alpha \frac{\lambda g(t)x}{1 + \lambda t} \right] \right\} \\
&= \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} \exp \left\{ -\lambda \alpha (1 + \lambda t)^{\alpha-1} g(t)x \right\} \\
&= \exp \{-x\}
\end{aligned}$$

if $g(t) = 1/(\lambda\alpha)(1+\lambda t)^{1-\alpha}$. So, the exponentiated extension cdf $F(x) = 1 - \exp[1 - (1 + \lambda x)^\alpha]^\alpha$ belongs to the Gumbel domain of attraction.

b) Note that $w(F) = \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - F(tx)}{1 - F(t)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[1 - \exp(-\frac{\lambda}{tx})]^\alpha}{[1 - \exp(-\frac{\lambda}{t})]^\alpha} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1 - \exp(-\frac{\lambda}{tx})}{1 - \exp(-\frac{\lambda}{t})} \right]^\alpha \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1 - (1 - \frac{\lambda}{tx})}{1 - (1 - \frac{\lambda}{t})} \right]^\alpha \quad \text{using } \exp(-a) \approx 1 - a \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{\frac{\lambda}{tx}}{\frac{\lambda}{t}} \right]^\alpha \\
&= x^{-\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, the inverse exponentiated exponential cdf $F(x) = 1 - [1 - \exp(-\frac{\lambda}{x})]^\alpha$ belongs to the Fréchet domain of attraction.

c) For the Poisson distribution,

$$\frac{\Pr(X = k)}{1 - F(k-1)} = \frac{\lambda^k/k!}{\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \lambda^j/j!} = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} k! \lambda^{j-k}/j!}.$$

The term in the denominator can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^j}{(k+1)(k+2)\cdots(k+j)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda}{k}\right)^j = \frac{\lambda/k}{1-\lambda/k}$$

(when $k > \lambda$) and the bound tends to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and so it follows that $p(k)/(1-F(k-1)) \rightarrow 1$. Hence, there can be no non-degenerate limit.

d) For the Bernoulli (p) distribution,

$$\frac{\Pr(X = k)}{1 - F(k-1)} = \begin{cases} 1 - p, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, there can be no sequences $a_n > 0$ and b_n such that $(M_n - b_n)/a_n$ has a non-degenerate limiting distribution.

e) For the discrete Weibull distribution, the corresponding pmf is

$$p(x) = q^{x^a} - q^{(x+1)^a}.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Pr(X = x)}{1 - F(x-1)} &= \frac{q^{x^a} - q^{(x+1)^a}}{1 - [1 - q^{x^a}]} \\ &= \frac{q^{x^a} - q^{(x+1)^a}}{q^{x^a}} \\ &= 1 - q^{(x+1)^a - x^a}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} x^a - (x+1)^a &= x^a - x^a \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^a \\ &= x^a \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^a\right] \\ &= x^a \left[1 - 1 - a\frac{1}{x} - \frac{a(a-1)}{2!} \frac{1}{x^2} - \cdots\right] \\ &\rightarrow -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\Pr(X = x)}{1 - F(x-1)} \rightarrow 1.$$

Hence, there can be no sequences $a_n > 0$ and b_n such that $(M_n - b_n)/a_n$ has a non-degenerate limiting distribution.

Solutions to Question 5

If X is an absolutely continuous random variable with cdf $F(\cdot)$ then

$$\text{VaR}_p(X) = F^{-1}(p)$$

and

$$\text{ES}_p(X) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^p F^{-1}(v) dv.$$

Setting

$$\Phi\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = p$$

gives

$$\text{VaR}_p(X) = \mu + \sigma \Phi^{-1}(p)$$

and

$$\text{ES}_p(X) = \mu + \frac{\sigma}{p} \int_0^p \Phi^{-1}(v) dv.$$

a) The joint likelihood function of μ and σ^2 is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu, \sigma^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{(X_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sigma^n} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The joint log likelihood function of μ and σ^2 is

$$\log L(\mu, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - n \log \sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2.$$

The first order partial derivatives of this with respect to μ and σ are

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\mu \right) \quad (2)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{n}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2, \quad (3)$$

respectively.

b) Using equation (2), one can see that the solution of $\partial \log L / \partial \mu = 0$ is $\mu = \bar{X} = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.

c) Using equation (3), one can see that the solution of $\partial \log L / \partial \sigma = 0$ is $\sigma^2 = S^2 = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$.

d) The mle of Value at Risk is

$$\widehat{\text{VaR}}_p(X) = \bar{X} + S\Phi^{-1}(p)$$

The mle of Expected Shortfall is

$$\widehat{\text{ES}}_p(X) = \bar{X} + \frac{S}{p} \int_0^p \Phi^{-1}(v) dv.$$

e) Since

$$\begin{aligned} E(\bar{X}) &= E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu \\ &= \mu, \end{aligned}$$

\bar{X} is unbiased for μ . Since $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi_{n-1}^2$ and $E(\chi_k) = \sqrt{2}\Gamma((k+1)/2)/\Gamma(k/2)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} E(S) &= E\left[\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\chi_{n-1}^2}\right] \\ &= \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} E\left[\sqrt{\chi_{n-1}^2}\right] \\ &= \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\sqrt{2}\Gamma(n/2)}{\Gamma((n-1)/2)}, \end{aligned}$$

so S is biased for σ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} E(\widehat{\text{VaR}}_p(X)) &= E(\bar{X}) + E(S)\Phi^{-1}(p) \\ &= \mu + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\sqrt{2}\Gamma(n/2)}{\Gamma((n-1)/2)} \Phi^{-1}(p) \\ &\neq \mu + \sigma \Phi^{-1}(p), \end{aligned}$$

$\widehat{\text{VaR}}_p(X)$ is biased for $\text{VaR}_p(X)$.

f) Since

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left(\widehat{\text{ES}}_p(X)\right) &= E(\overline{X}) + E(S) \frac{1}{p} \int_0^p \Phi^{-1}(v) dv \\
&= \mu + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\sqrt{2}\Gamma(n/2)}{\Gamma((n-1)/2)} \frac{1}{p} \int_0^p \Phi^{-1}(v) dv \\
&\neq \mu + \sigma \frac{1}{p} \int_0^p \Phi^{-1}(v) dv,
\end{aligned}$$

$\widehat{\text{ES}}_p(X)$ is biased for $\text{ES}_p(X)$.

Solutions to Question 6

a) The cdf of X is

$$\begin{aligned}F_Y(y) &= \Pr(Y \leq y) \\&= \Pr(\min(X_1, \dots, X_\alpha) \leq y) \\&= 1 - \Pr(\min(X_1, \dots, X_\alpha) > y) \\&= 1 - \Pr(X_1 > y, \dots, X_\alpha > y) \\&= 1 - \Pr(X_1 > y) \cdots \Pr(X_\alpha > y) \\&= 1 - \exp(-\lambda y) \cdots \exp(-\lambda y) \\&= 1 - \exp(-\alpha \lambda y),\end{aligned}$$

the exponential cdf with parameter $\alpha\lambda$.

b) The corresponding pdf is

$$f_Y(y) = \alpha\lambda \exp(-\alpha\lambda y).$$

c) The n th moment of Y can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}E(Y^n) &= \alpha\lambda \int_0^\infty x^n \exp(-\alpha\lambda x) dx \\&= (\alpha\lambda)^{-n} \int_0^\infty x^n \exp(-x) dx \\&= (\alpha\lambda)^{-n} \Gamma(n+1) \\&= (\alpha\lambda)^{-n} n!.\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$E(Y) = (\alpha\lambda)^{-1}$$

and

$$Var(Y) = (\alpha\lambda)^{-2}.$$

d) Setting

$$1 - \exp(-\alpha\lambda y) = p$$

gives

$$\text{VaR}_p(Y) = -\frac{1}{\alpha\lambda} \log(1-p).$$

e) The expected shortfall is

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ES}_p(Y) &= -\frac{1}{\alpha\lambda p} \int_0^p \log(1-v) dv \\
&= -\frac{1}{\alpha\lambda p} \left\{ [v \log(1-v)]_0^p + \int_0^p \frac{v}{1-v} dv \right\} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\alpha\lambda p} \left\{ p \log(1-p) + \int_0^p \frac{v-1+1}{1-v} dv \right\} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\alpha\lambda p} \left\{ p \log(1-p) - p + \int_0^p \frac{1}{1-v} dv \right\} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\alpha\lambda p} \{ p \log(1-p) - p - \log(1-p) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

f) The likelihood function is

$$L(\alpha, \lambda) = \alpha^n \lambda^n \exp \left(-\alpha \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right).$$

The log-likelihood function is

$$\log L = n \log(\alpha \lambda) - \alpha \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n y_i.$$

The partial derivatives with respect to α and λ are

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{n}{\alpha} - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{n}{\lambda} - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n y_i.$$

Setting these to zero, we find that the mles of α and λ are the solutions of

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{n}{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n y_i}.$$

By definition, α must be a positive integer. Hence, the set of all possible mles of α and λ is

$$\left\{ \left(m, \frac{n}{m \sum_{i=1}^n y_i} \right), m = 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$